

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/915,789	09/915,789 07/26/2001		Lieping Chen	07039-219001	6835	
26191	7590 09/17/2004			EXAMINER		
FISH & RIO		501.1.0.	OUSPENSKI, ILIA I			
60 SOUTH				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				1644	-	
				DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/915,789	CHEN, LIEPING					
riavioory riodon	Examiner	Art Unit	-				
	ILIA OUSPENSKI	1644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	-				
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE TO THE PLACE TO AN EXAMPLE TO THE PLACE TO AN EXAMPLE TO THE PLACE TO THE	roid abandonment of this applically a timely filed amendment whice the filed amendment whice the file (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in					
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
<ul> <li>imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C</li> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 29 April 2004. Appears 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF)</li> </ul>	FR 1.704(b). ellant's Brief must be filed within R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal c	the period set forth in					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	• •						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.					
NOTE:							
$3. \hfill \square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.☑ The a)☑ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	PH	nupamaje					
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to: <u>3 and 58</u> .  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,7-9,32,33 and 53-57</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>4-6, 10-31,</u>	PHIL	LIP GAMBEL, PH.D  MARY EXAMINER  SCH. CONTON 16000					
B.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. ☐ Other:							
<del></del>							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because of the reasons of record: the scope of the Declaration is not commensurate with the scope of the claims or the scope of the prior art of record. Baker et al. teach a genus of nucleic acids which hybridize to the nuclic acid encoding PRO1291, while the evidence provided in the Declaration is limited to a single nuclic acid sequence, whereas the instant claims are again directed to a genus of nuclic acids which hybridize to the instantly claimed nucleic acid. Furthermore, Both Baker et al. and Mitcham et al. teach vectors and host cells comprising a nucleic acid sequence identical to the instantly claimed nucleic acid, as well as methods of making the corresponding protein. The Declaration again discloses only the nucleic acid, while the instant claims are directed to a vector, host cell, and methods of making the protein. Claims 3 and 58, which are commensurate in scope with the Declaration, are considered to be directed to allowable subject matter.

It is noted that the US patent application publication by Fox et al., which anticipates the instant claimed invention under 35 USC 102(e), has been abandoned 03/19/2003.